

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,133	04/09/2001	Erol Tan	RAY4066P0016US	1047
32116 75	590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661		1771		

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A -4i C		09/829,133	TAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher C Pratt	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 12-14,18,21,25,30-32,34,35,39,45 and	d 48 is/are pending in the appli	cation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>12-14,18,21,25,30-32,34,35,39,45 and 48</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/341340.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Assault	4/->					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
· <del></del>	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ii Fatent Application (FTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/829,133

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's remarks filed 12/29/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 12-14, 18, 21, 25, 30-32, 34-35, 39, 45, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leithem (WO 95/20066) in view of Berg et al (4684909) and Tyler et al (4919482), and, as set forth in the previous action.

Applicant argues that Leithem fails to teach the percentage of superabsorbent material. The examiner agrees with applicant and notes that Berg was cited in the rejection of the previous action as a teaching which renders obvious applicant's claimed percentage.

Applicant argues that Tyler fails to teach the claimed crystallinity. However, Tyler teaches reducing crystalinity in col. 2, lines 48-51.

Applicant argues that the references fail to teach the suppleness of the fabric.

For the reasons set forth in the previous action, this property is inherent in the fabric created by the combination of Leithem, Berg, and Tyler.

Applicant argues that Berg teaches away from combining the references because Berg teaches increasing density which decreases suppleness. However, Berg

Application/Control Number: 09/829,133

Art Unit: 1771

teaches applicant's claimed density (col. 6, lines 53-62) and the examiner finds no mention in Berg of suppleness.

Applicant argues that the examiner used impermissible hindsight in combining the references. However, Leithem is silent with respect to the ratio of pup and superabsorbent and the density. In order to practice the invention of Leithem the skilled artisan would have to look to the prior art to fill in the gaps in Leithem's teachings.

Applicant argues that the references fail to teach elements of the instant invention; however, applicant does not specifically point out which elements are not taught.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,133

Art Unit: 1771

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Pratt whose telephone number is 571-232-1480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher C. Pratt

March 4, 2004

Ms. Arti R. Singh Primary Examiner Tech Center 1700